Washington State House of Representatives Office of Program Research

BILL ANALYSIS

Public Safety Committee

SSB 5965

Brief Description: Concerning sexually violent predators.

Sponsors: Senate Committee on Human Services & Corrections (originally sponsored by Senators Padden, Darneille, O'Ban, Mullet, Hargrove, Dammeier, Pearson, Fain, Roach, Kohl-Welles, Kline, Conway, Keiser and McAuliffe; by request of Attorney General).

Brief Summary of Substitute Bill

- Requires the Department of Social and Health Services (DSHS) to make a recording of an annual evaluation available to the committed person.
- Prohibits the use of an outside evaluation at trial if the committed person did not participate in DSHS' annual evaluation.
- Waives the annual examination requirement while the committed person is awaiting trial for unconditional release; if a person is recommitted, the next annual examination must be done within one year of the recommitment order.
- Defines "treatment" to be sex offender specific treatment by a certified provider.

Hearing Date: 2/19/14

Staff: Sarah Koster (786-7303).

Background:

Sexually Violent Predator Commitment Proceedings: A sexually violent predator (SVP) is a person who has been convicted of, found not guilty by reason of insanity of, or found to be incompetent to stand trial for a crime of sexual violence and who suffers from a mental abnormality or personality disorder that makes the person likely to engage in predatory acts of sexual violence if not confined in a secure facility.

House Bill Analysis - 1 - SSB 5965

This analysis was prepared by non-partisan legislative staff for the use of legislative members in their deliberations. This analysis is not a part of the legislation nor does it constitute a statement of legislative intent.

Once the prosecutor files a petition to civilly commit a person, the court first must determine whether there is probable cause to believe the person is a SVP. If there is probable cause, a full trial is held to determine whether the person is a SVP.

At the trial, the burden is on the state to prove beyond a reasonable doubt that the person is a SVP. If the person requests a 12-person jury, the jury must be unanimous. If the person is found to be a SVP, he or she is committed to the custody of the Department of Social and Health Services (DSHS) for control, care, and treatment at the Special Commitment Center on McNeil Island.

Annual Examinations: Every year, the DSHS shall conduct an examination of each committed person's mental condition and have a report prepared as to whether the person continues to meet the definition of a SVP and whether conditional release to a less restrictive alternative (LRA) is in the person's best interest and conditions can be imposed to adequately protect the community. The committed person can retain, or have appointed, if indigent, an evaluator to conduct an examination.

Review Proceedings: If the DSHS determines after the annual examination that: (1) the person's condition has so changed that he or she no longer meets the definition of a SVP; or (2) conditional release to a LRA is in the person's best interest and conditions can be imposed to adequately protect the community, the DSHS must authorize the person to petition the court for a full trial to consider either unconditional discharge or conditional release to a LRA.

The DSHS must send annual written notice of the right to petition the court, along with a waiver of rights. If the committed person does not waive the right, the court must set a show cause hearing to determine if probable cause exists to warrant a hearing on whether the person's condition has so changed.

If, at the hearing, the committed person demonstrates probable cause to believe that his or her condition has so changed that he or she no longer meets the definition of a SVP or that release to a LRA would be in the person's best interest and conditions would adequately protect the community, the court will order a full trial, at which the burden is on the state. However, a trial may not be ordered unless there is current evidence from a licensed professional that: (a) the committed person has undergone a permanent physiological change, such as paralysis, stroke, or dementia, which renders him or her unable to commit a sexually violent act; or (b) treatment has brought about a positive change in mental condition.

Summary of Bill:

Annual Examinations: If a committed person did not participate in the most recent annual interview and evaluation completed by the DSHS, a report prepared by a separately appointed evaluator is not admissible in a trial for discharge. If the committed person requests it, the DSHS must record the annual review interview and make the recording available to the committed person.

If a committed person has been granted a trial to determine whether unconditional release is appropriate, in that the person no longer meets the definition of a SVP, the annual examination

requirement is waived pending the trial. If the person is recommitted after the trial, the DSHS will conduct a new examination no more than one year after the recommitment order.

Review Proceedings: A trial for conditional or unconditional release may not be ordered unless there is current evidence from a licensed professional that: (a) the committed person has undergone a permanent physiological change, such as paralysis, stroke, or dementia, which renders him or her unable to commit a sexually violent act; or (b) sex offender specific treatment by a certified professional has brought about a positive change in mental condition.

Appropriation: None.

Fiscal Note: Requested on February 14, 2014.

Effective Date: The bill takes effect on July 1, 2014.